

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,237	HALLINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Y. Cho	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer Y. Cho.

(2) James C. Lydon.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 September 2006

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed 35 USC 112 paragraph 1, scope of enablement, with regard to the scope of claim 1.

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed to allow claim 12 if the limitations of claims 16, 17 and 19 were inserted. The examiner also proposed a two group restriction. The attorney did not adopt the suggestions made by the examiner.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)